

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/538,010 | 06/03/2005 | Shinichi Ookubo | 040894-7251 | 6869 | |
| 9629 | 7590 09/05/2006 | • | EXAMINER | | |
| | LEWIS & BOCKIUS I | TIBBITS, PIA FLORENCE | | | |
| | SYLVANIA AVENUE N ΓΟΝ, DC 20004 | w | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 2838 | | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 09/05/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | <i>\$\sqrt{\psi}</i> | | |
|---------------------------|---|--|---|----------------------|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | V | | |
| Office Action Summary | | 10/538,010 | OOKUBO ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Pia F. Tibbits | 2838 | | | |
| Period | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address | 5 | | |
| WH - Ex afte - If N - Fai | HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Exensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. To period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period lure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuty reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 136(a). In no event, however, may a rep will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI te, cause the application to become ABA | ATION. lly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this commun NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 J | <u>lune 2006</u> . | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3)[| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. | 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposi | tion of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | awn from consideration. | | | | |
| 5)[\ | Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ | Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8)[| Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | |
| Applica | tion Papers | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examina | er. | | | | |
| 10)⊠ | The drawing(s) filed on 20 June 2006 is/are: a | a)∏ accepted or b)⊠ object | ed to by the Examiner. | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | e drawing(s) be held in abeyanc | e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | ction is required if the drawing(s |) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1 | I21(d). | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. Note the attached | Office Action or form PTO-15 | 52. | | |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| a | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ts have been received. ts have been received in Appority documents have been re nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | plication No eceived in this National Stag | e | | |
| Attachme | nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview Sui | mman//PTO.412\ | | | |
| 2) | ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) imation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ier No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/ | Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in answer to the amendment filed 6/20/2006.

Drawings

The drawings are finally objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show the conventional names, as described in the specification, e.g. capacitor, grip part, contact arm, etc. for the elements shown in figures with non-conventional symbols. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Kubale**, as described above, in view of disclosed **Wang et al**. [6373152] in view of disclosed Prior Art, **JP-2002142375**.

Kubale does not disclose the power supply including an electric double layer capacitor, a charging electrode, and a series parallel switching circuit that switches the plurality of electric double layer capacitors into parallel connection when a start switch is off, and switches the plurality of electric double layer capacitors into series connection when the start switch is on.

Wang discloses a power supply for a tool [see abstract; column 1, line 11] comprising capacitor 4 and a charging electrode/cathode to meet the requirement of a large and instantaneous current output [see column 1, lines 43-44]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kubale's apparatus and include the power supply, as disclosed by Wang, in order to meet the requirement of a large and instantaneous current output.

As to the use of an electric double layer capacitor, absent any criticality, is only considered to be the use of "optimum" or "preferred" material that a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made using routine experimentation would have found obvious to provide for the capacitor disclosed by Kubale and Wang in order to accommodate application specifics since it has been held to be a matter of obvious design choice and within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use of the invention. See *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955), *In re Boesch*, 617 F. 2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Kubale and Wang do not disclose a series parallel switching circuit that switches the plurality of electric double layer capacitors into parallel connection when a start switch is off, and switches the plurality of electric double layer capacitors into series connection when the start switch is on.

JP discloses in the abstract and figures 1-9 a series parallel switching circuit that switches the plurality of electric double layer capacitors into parallel connection when a start switch is off, and switches the plurality of electric double layer capacitors into series connection when the start switch is on to obtain a power storage system wherein the charging voltages of two sets of storage battery modules are equalized using a single charger. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kubale's and Wang's apparatus and include a

Art Unit: 2838

series parallel switching circuit that switches the plurality of electric double layer capacitors into parallel connection when a start switch is off, and switches the plurality of electric double layer capacitors into series connection when the start switch is on, as disclosed by JP, in order to obtain a power storage system wherein the charging voltages of two sets of storage battery modules are equalized using a single charger

Page 4

As to claim 6, Wang discloses the secondary battery is a lithium-ion battery, which is preferable since it is light, has a high capacity, can be repeatedly used for an extended period of time, and does not have memory effect [see column 2, lines 43-49].

As to claims 4-6, see remarks and references above.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Allowable Subject Matter

With respect to claims 1-3, 7: none of the references of record prior to applicant's filing date discloses, teaches, or suggests a cordless power tool comprising, inter alia, a contact arm and when the safety switch and the trigger switch are off, the plurality of electrical double layer capacitors are connected in parallel when the safety switch is turned on, the plurality of electric double layer capacitors are connected in series, and when the safety switch and the trigger switch are turned on, current is supplied from the plurality of electric double layer capacitors connected in series to the motor.

Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, as per above-mentioned reasons for allowance.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection, and the revisions to the claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on 6/3/2005 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 609.04(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The prior art cited in PTO-892 and not mentioned above disclose related apparatus.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Pia Tibbits whose telephone number is 571-272-2086. If unavailable, contact the Supervisory Patent Examiner Karl Easthom whose telephone number is 571-272-1989. The Technology Center Fax number is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/538,010

Art Unit: 2838

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at

866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PFT

August 30, 2006

Pia Tibbits

Primary Patent Examiner

Page 6